**THE CONCLUSION REVIEWER**

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

(Name of article)

(Ask mark)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. MANUSCRIPT | |
| It contains new ideas |  |
| Confirms ideas |  |
| Summarizes known and new data |  |
| Repeats known data |  |
| 2. TITLE | |
| Correspond to the contents |  |
| Does not meet the |  |
| It is recommended to change the title of making the following: |  |
| 3. ABSTRACT | |
| Displays content |  |
| Processing needs |  |
| 4. INTRODUCTION | |
| Displays content in support of problem |  |
| Does not display |  |
| 5. METHODS | |
| Modern Сучасні |  |
| Traditional (conventional) |  |
| Old |  |
| Described adequately for their reproduction |  |
| Described unclear without sufficient completeness |  |
| 6. ILLUSTRATIONS | |
| Up to snuff |  |
| Should be redesigned |  |
| Missing |  |
| 7. EXPERIMENTAL DATA | |
| Sufficient |  |
| Insufficient |  |
| The excess |  |
| Credible |  |
| Is unreliable |  |
| No experimental data |  |
| 8. DISCUSSION AND INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS | |
| Correspond to experimental data |  |
| Not correspond to the experimental data |  |
| Consists in recalculation facts |  |
| Goes beyond the of the problem |  |
| Need to reduce |  |
| 9. LITERATURE (References) | |
| Sufficient |  |
| Is not enough sources for the last 5 years |  |
| An incomplete |  |
| Excess |  |
| Executed not by the rules |  |
| Not matches the topic |  |
| 10. GENERAL CONCLUSION | |
| Manuscript accept |  |
| The manuscript as presented may not be recommended for publication |  |
| It should be made according to remake remark |  |
| Meets another journal profile |  |
| Reject |  |
| 11. COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS (Set out below) |  |
|  |  |